

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,457	12/21/2001	Xuyen Pham	LAM2P310	5483
25920	7590 12/02/2004		EXAMINER	
MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP			ELEY, TIMOTHY V	
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 170 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- 6		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/029,457	PHAM, XUYEN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Timothy V Eley	3724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may be part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the may be part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the may be part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the may be part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the may be part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the may be part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the may be part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later than three months after the may be provided by the Office later th	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	) August 2004.				
·	<u> </u>	his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-14,21,22 and 25-29 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) 8-14 and 22 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,21 and 25-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Irawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner.  accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyatection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).		
,	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burdsee the attached detailed Office action for a light second content of the papplication from the light second content of the li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/er No(s)/Mail Date 7/28/03.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/029,457 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1,5,6,7, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beardsley(6,135,865) et al as applied in the office action filed May 05, 2004.
  - a. In addition, claim 7 is rejected since it is essentially the same as claim 1 because it now only requires a single recess (which is taught by Beardsley et al at 306) defined in a central region of the platen plate. The allowable subject matter indicated in claim 7 in the previous office action required a single recess defined in a central region of the platen plate and a plurality of recesses defined in a peripheral region of the plate.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claim 2-4, and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beardsley et al, as applied in the office action filed May 05, 2004.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed August 09, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. Applicant argues that Beardsley et al teaches forcing slurry towards a porous pad using pressured gas and does not teach the porous material having a porosity sufficient to restrict airflow to reduce an amount of air, and therefore does not anticipate independent claims 1 and 21.

- i. However, Beardsley et al discloses all of the structural limitations recited by applicant in claims 1 and 21 since virtually any solid article is capable of restricting airflow. Furthermore, the intended use of applicant's device does provide any structural limitations that define over the Beardsley et al device.
- b. Applicant argues that Beardsley et al discloses that it is preferable that the slurry delivered to the porous pad returns to the recess for removal and reuse, and thus slurry and not air is delivered to the porous pad, and therefore the reference does not teach or suggest the porous material having a porosity sufficient to restrict airflow therethrough to reduce an amount of air.
  - i. The examiner does not understand this reasoning. It is not readily apparent as to how applicant came up with the conclusion that a solid article having a porosity cannot restrict airflow therethrough. Clearly the Beardsley et al device has a porous material(208) that is capable of restricting airflow therethrough. Exactly how the Beardsley et al device is being used does not eliminate

Application/Control Number: 10/029,457

Art Unit: 3724

the fact that it discloses the majority of the limitations claimed by applicant.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8-14, and 22 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V Eley whose telephone number is 571-272-4506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

tve